



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/538,483	03/30/2000	Masaru lida	000395	8039	
38834	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER		
	AN, HATTORI, DAN	NGUYEN, MADELEINE ANH VINH			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2626		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/538,483	IIDA, MASARU
Examiner	Art Unit
Madeleine AV Nguyen	2626

Advisory Action	09/538,483	IIDA, MASARU				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Madeleine AV Nguyen	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o			andonment of			
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which					
	places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or					
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	illance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	y must be filed within	one of the			
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection	,				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	=	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	•) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	and the corresponding amount of the fee.	The appropriate extension	n fee under 37			
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month						
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	3	,,,	, ,			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e 						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l						
AMENDMENTS	,		/-			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		· 				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro			•			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	est badana an an tha data at titia h	1-4: 6 A				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar 						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	a camelon reasons why the amad	VICOLOGICI CVIDENCE I	3 ricocssary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in or the states of the stating after t		neu.			
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	/ .			
3. 🛮 Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Bullund	quir.			
· ·	,	Madeleine AV Ngu	Æn /			
		Primary Examiner	•			
·		Art Unit: 2626				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment of claims 2-7 make them allowable over the prior art but not for the remaining of the claims.

Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner had interviews with Mr. Thomas Brown to expedite the disposition of this case but an amendment of the claims, resquested from the examiner, was not available for a period of time from 6/21-7/7, 2005.